## DEFENSIVE AND COMPETITIVE BIDDING

OVERCALLS (Style: Responses: 1/2 Level; Reopening)
Over transfer responses to 1 C , bid of suit is takeout, $\mathrm{X}=$ values
1N overcall 15-18

1NT OVERCALL (2 ${ }^{\text {nd } / 4} 4^{\text {th }}$ Live; Responses; Reopening)
15-18/11-15 (system ON)
1any - 1NT-X - system on XX = a minor

JUMP OVERCALLS (Style; Responses; Unusual NT)
Weak according to Vulnerability
Multi Landy over short 1C (can be 5-4 M)

DIRECT \& JUMP CUE BIDS (Style; Response; Reopen)
Michaels 2 suited bids - at least 5-5 shape.
Over 1C/D 2C/D $=5 / 5 \mathrm{Ma}$, over $1 \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{S} 2 \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{S}=$ other Ma + minor Over $1 \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{S} 2 \mathrm{~N}=5 / 5$ minors
$1 \mathrm{x}-3 \mathrm{x}$ have you a stop?
VS. NT (vs. Strong/Weak; Reopening;PH)
X= penalties over wk NT
$2 \mathrm{C}=$ Multi landy both majors (5/4), 2D=6 card Major, 2H/S= suit + minor
2N=minors
VS.PREEMTS (Doubles; Cue-bids; Jumps; NT Bids)
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{T} . \mathrm{O}$ over weak $2,2 \mathrm{~N}$ initially shows $<8 \mathrm{hcp}$ and requests 3 C relay which can be passed or corrected
Over $4 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{X}=$ values, $4 \mathrm{~N}=2$ places to play
Over wk 2s and wk 3s, 5-5 shape (michaels and Leaping michaels) Cue asks for stop in pre-empt suit after weak 2 opener
Vs multi $\mathrm{X}=13-15,2 \mathrm{~N}=16-18$, in $6^{\text {th }}$ posn $2 \mathrm{~N}=5 / 5$ minors
2D X 2H/S X = shows that suit
VS. ARTIFICIAL STRONG OPENINGS- i.e. 1* or 2*
Over 1C 2C=Majors
Over 2C - natural long suit

## OVER OPPONENTS' TAKEOUT DOUBLE

XX=9+hcp and little support for partner, New suit=F1, jump in new suit=weak $<5$ hcp and $6+$ cards NF, $2 \mathrm{~N}=$ gd raise in partner's suit


${ }^{1}$ UCB - better support for partner's overcall than direct raise.
TWO way check back - Over any 111 bidding $=2 \mathrm{C}$ forces $2 \mathrm{D}-$ then invit or p. 2D -GF
Responses after opps overcall our 1N, bid at 2 level NF, 2 N asks partner to bid 3C then responder shows shape and hcp or Passes/corrects. Lebensohl - fast arrival shows stop.
Over wk 2 X by partner a bid of a Ma at 2 level is more constructive than proceeding via 2 N response which requires a 3 C response then bid of Ma at 3 level is weak
$2 \mathrm{C}-$ Kokish $=2 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{NT}-22 / 23: 2 \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{D}-2 \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{~S}-2 \mathrm{NT}=24-26: 2 \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{D}-3 \mathrm{NT}-27-29$

